IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANGELO DIVISION

FIRST SONORA BANCSHARES, INC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
V.	§	Civil Action No. 6:22-CV-00018-H-BU
	§	
THE CHARTER OAK FIRE	§	
INSURANCE CO.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Now before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Remand this action to state court Dkt. No. 5. Through the motion, the parties request that the Court enter an order remanding this cause to the 340th District Court of Tom Green County, Texas, because the parties stipulate that the amount in controversy is less than \$75,000. *Id.* at 1–2.

This case was referred to the undersigned for pretrial management with instructions to submit a report and recommendation on dispositive motions under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Dkt. No. 3. "[A] motion to remand is a dispositive mater on which a magistrate judge should enter a recommendation to the district court subject to de novo review." *Davidson v. Georgia-Pacific, L.L.C.*, 819 F.3d 758, 765 (5th Cir. 2016).

After reviewing the parties' Joint Motion and the applicable law, the undersigned finds that a hearing on this motion is unnecessary and recommends that the parties' Joint Motion to Remand (Dkt. No. 5) be GRANTED.

Typically, parties are afforded fourteen days to serve and file written objections to

a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations. Here that appears to be

unnecessary as the undersigned recommends granting the relief jointly requested by the

parties. For this reason, the parties are directed to file **no later than April 21, 2022**, either

a joint notice or separate notices indicating whether they waive the fourteen-day objection

period and consent to the immediate acceptance by the Court of this recommendation.

If any party wishes to object to any part of these Findings, Conclusions, and

Recommendation, the party must file specific written objections within fourteen days after

being served with a copy of these Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2017); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). To be specific, an objection must identify

the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the

objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's Findings, Conclusions, and

Recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely

incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not

specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from

appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are

accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error. See Douglass

v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1417 (5th Cir. 1996).

SO ORDERED this 19th day of April, 2022.

JOHN R. PARKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2